

Multicollinearity

Consider the usual regression equation

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon$$

with $E(\epsilon | X) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\text{Cov}(\epsilon | X) = \sigma^2 I$. Suppose that one column of X , say Z , is close to the span of the other columns of X . How much does this affect the SE of the corresponding coefficient estimator? Write $X\beta = W\alpha + Z\gamma$, where W is the matrix formed from the other columns of X besides Z . Then

$$Y = X\hat{\beta} + e = W\hat{\alpha} + Z\hat{\gamma} + e \quad \text{with} \quad e \perp X. \quad (1)$$

We want to know how $\text{SE}(\hat{\gamma} | X)$ is affected by Z being close to $\text{col}(W)$.

Suppose first that $Z \perp W$, which is the *opposite* of Z being close to $\text{col}(W)$, unless $\|Z\|$ is small. We have $\text{Cov}(\hat{\beta} | X) = \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1}$ and, supposing that Z is the last column of X ,

$$X'X = [W \ Z]'[W \ Z] = \begin{bmatrix} W'W & W'Z \\ Z'W & Z'Z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} W'W & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \|Z\|^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$(X'X)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} (W'W)^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1/\|Z\|^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{SE}(\hat{\gamma} | X) = \frac{\sigma}{\|Z\|}. \quad (2)$$

In general, without assuming that $Z \perp W$, write

$$Z = P_W Z + P_W^\perp Z = Wb + P_W^\perp Z$$

for some b , where P_W denotes orthogonal projection onto $\text{col}(W)$ and P_W^\perp denotes orthogonal projection onto the orthocomplement of $\text{col}(W)$. Using this, we may rewrite (1) as

$$Y = W\hat{\alpha} + Z\hat{\gamma} + e = W\hat{\alpha} + Wb\hat{\gamma} + P_W^\perp Z\hat{\gamma} + e = W(\hat{\alpha} + b\hat{\gamma}) + P_W^\perp Z\hat{\gamma} + e.$$

That is,

$$Y = W(\hat{\alpha} + b\hat{\gamma}) + P_W^\perp Z\hat{\gamma} + e. \quad (3)$$

Since $e \perp W, Z$, we also have $e \perp P_W^\perp Z$:

$$0 = e \cdot Z = e \cdot (P_W Z + P_W^\perp Z) = e \cdot P_W^\perp Z.$$

Therefore, (3) is a regression of Y on W and $P_W^\perp Z$. In this regression, $\hat{\gamma}$ is the coefficient of $P_W^\perp Z$. But by design, this regression now has $P_W^\perp Z \perp W$, whence our earlier formula (2) applies:

$$\text{SE}(\hat{\gamma} \mid X) = \frac{\sigma}{\|P_W^\perp Z\|}. \quad (4)$$

This is our answer: it shows that if closeness of Z to W is measured by $\|P_W^\perp Z\|$, then we get a precise measure of how such closeness affects $\text{SE}(\hat{\gamma} \mid X)$.

A formula that gives another interpretation is as follows. Define $R_{Z,W}^2$ to be the explained variance of regressing Z on W : we ignore whether there is an intercept or not and define it as

$$R_{Z,W}^2 := \frac{\|P_W Z\|^2}{\|Z\|^2}.$$

Since $\|P_W Z\|^2 + \|P_W^\perp Z\|^2 = \|Z\|^2$ by the Pythagorean theorem, we have $1 - R_{Z,W}^2 = \|P_W^\perp Z\|^2 / \|Z\|^2$, whence (4) becomes

$$\text{SE}(\hat{\gamma} \mid X) = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{1 - R_{Z,W}^2} \cdot \|Z\|}.$$

In this formula, we can think of $\|Z\|$ as fixed and letting vary only the angle between Z and W , which amounts to varying $R_{Z,W}^2$. As the angle goes from 90° to 0° , the explained variance $R_{Z,W}^2$ goes from 0 to 1. In fact, if θ is the angle between Z and W , then $R_{Z,W} = \cos \theta$ and $\sqrt{1 - R_{Z,W}^2} = \sin \theta$, as a picture shows.