

This shows that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} p(x) = 1$ , and, by a similar argument,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} p(x) = 0$ . By (4), (5), and (6),  $a + b = 1$  and  $a - b = 0$ , i.e.,  $a = b = 1/2$ . Hence

$$p(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - 2^{-x-1} & \text{for } x \geq 0, \\ 2^{x-1} & \text{for } x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular, for  $X_0 = 1$  we obtain

$$p = \mathbb{P}[\lim X_n = \infty] = p(1) = 3/4.$$

Moreover, by symmetry,

$$\mathbb{P}[\lim X_n = -\infty] = p(-1) = 1/4 = 1 - p.$$

Hence with probability 1, we have either  $\lim X_n = +\infty$  or  $\lim X_n = -\infty$ . In the first case,  $X_n - X_{n-1} = Y_n$  for sufficiently large  $n$ , and hence

$$\lim(X_n/n) = \lim(S_n/n) = 1/3.$$

Similarly, in the second case,

$$\lim(X_n/n) = -1/3. \quad \square$$

Also solved by Mihaly Bencze (Romania), Socratis Varelogiannis (France), and Alexander Vauth (Germany).

- 198.** Let  $B := (B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be Brownian motion in the complex plane. Suppose that  $B_0 = 1$ .
- (a) Let  $T_1$  be the first time that  $B$  hits the imaginary axis,  $T_2$  be the first time after  $T_1$  that  $B$  hits the real axis,  $T_3$  be the first time after  $T_2$  that  $B$  hits the imaginary axis, etc. Prove that, for each  $n \geq 1$ , the probability that  $|B_{T_n}| \leq 1$  is  $1/2$ .
  - (b) More generally, let  $\ell_n$  be lines through 0 for  $n \geq 1$  such that  $1 \notin \ell_1$ . Let  $T_1 := \inf\{t \geq 0; B_t \in \ell_1\}$  and recursively define  $T_{n+1} := \inf\{t > T_n; B_t \in \ell_{n+1}\}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Prove that, for each  $n \geq 1$ , the probability that  $|B_{T_n}| \leq 1$  is  $1/2$ .
  - (c) In the context of part (b), let  $\alpha_n$  be the smaller of the two angles between  $\ell_n$  and  $\ell_{n+1}$ . Show that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  iff, for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , the probability that  $\epsilon \leq |B_{T_n}| \leq 1/\epsilon$  tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
  - (d) In the context of part (a), show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left[\exp(-\delta_n \sqrt{n}) \leq |B_{T_n}| \leq \exp(\delta_n \sqrt{n})\right] = \int_{-2\delta/\pi}^{2\delta/\pi} \frac{e^{-u^2/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} du$$

if  $\delta_n \geq 0$  tend to  $\delta \in [0, \infty]$ .

(Russell Lyons, Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, USA [Partially supported by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-1612363])

*Solution by the proposer.* We skip (a) and pass directly to (b). Denote inversion in the unit circle by  $\phi(z) := 1/\bar{z}$ . It is well known that  $W := (\phi(B_t))_{t \geq 0}$  is a time-change of Brownian motion. Since  $\phi$  maps each line  $\ell_n$  to itself,  $T_1 = \inf\{t \geq 0; W_t \in \ell_1\}$  and  $T_{n+1} = \inf\{t > T_n; W_t \in \ell_{n+1}\}$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Thus,  $B_{T_n}$  and  $W_{T_n}$  have the same distribution. However,  $|B_t| \leq 1$  iff  $|W_t| \geq 1$ . Since the chance that  $|B_{T_n}| = 1$  is 0 for each  $n$ , we obtain (b).

In light of (b), the conclusion of (c) is equivalent to  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[|B_{T_n}| < \epsilon] = 1/2$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Let  $T := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n$ . If  $T < \infty$  a.s., then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{T_n} = B_T$ , which implies that for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[|B_{T_n}| < \epsilon] \neq 1/2$ . Now suppose that  $T = \infty$  a.s. Neighbourhood recurrence of  $B$  shows that for

each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is some  $t < \infty$  such that  $|B_t| \leq \epsilon$ . Let  $S_\epsilon$  be the first such time  $t$ . The strong Markov property, scaling, rotational symmetry, and part (b) shows that  $\mathbb{P}[|B_{T_n}| < \epsilon \mid T_n > S_\epsilon] = 1/2$ . Because  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[T_n > S_\epsilon] = 1$ , this shows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[|B_{T_n}| < \epsilon] = 1/2$ .

It remains to show that if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n < \infty$ , then  $T < \infty$  a.s., whereas if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ , then  $T = \infty$  a.s. If  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n > 0$ , as in (a), then this is clear from the fact that then a.s. there is no limiting argument of  $B_{T_n}$ . In general, we use the skew-product representation of  $B$  as  $B_t = \exp(X_{H_t} + iY_{H_t})$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent real Brownian motions started at 0 and  $H_t := \int_0^t ds/|B_s|^2$  (the form of  $H$  will not matter to us). Note that  $H_{T_n}$  are functions of  $Y$  and thus independent of  $X$ . Also,  $H_\infty = \infty$  a.s. It is well known that the expected time for  $Y$  to visit either  $\alpha > 0$  or  $-\beta < 0$  is  $\alpha\beta$ , whence the expectation of  $H_{T_{n+1}} - H_{T_n}$  equals  $(\pi - \alpha_n)\alpha_n$ . Note that  $H_{T_{n+1}} - H_{T_n}$  are independent (and nonnegative). Therefore, if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n < \infty$ , then  $\sum_n (H_{T_{n+1}} - H_{T_n}) < \infty$  a.s., and otherwise (by Kolmogorov's three-series theorem)  $\sum_n (H_{T_{n+1}} - H_{T_n}) = \infty$  a.s. This is the same as  $H_T < \infty$  a.s. or  $H_T = \infty$  a.s. respectively, which in turn is equivalent to  $T < \infty$  a.s. or  $T = \infty$  a.s.

For part (d), note that  $H_{T_n}/n \rightarrow \pi^2/4$  in probability by the weak large of large numbers. With  $\epsilon_n := \exp(-\delta_n \sqrt{n})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[\epsilon_n \leq |B_{T_n}| \leq 1/\epsilon_n] &= \mathbb{P}[-\delta_n \sqrt{n} \leq X_{H_{T_n}} \leq \delta_n \sqrt{n}] \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left[-\frac{2\delta_n}{\pi} \leq X_{\frac{4H_{T_n}}{\pi^2 n}} \leq \frac{2\delta_n}{\pi}\right] \end{aligned}$$

by Brownian scaling. Now let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . □

**Remark.** The proof of (c) could be shortened by using the skew-product representation throughout, but the proof given is more elementary in the context of (a). Part (b) could also be proved with the skew-product representation.

Also solved by Mihaly Bencze (Romania), Sotirios E. Louridas (Greece), and Socratis Varelogiannis (France).

- 199.** Suppose that each carioca (native of Rio de Janeiro) likes at least half of the other  $2^{23}$  cariocas. Prove that there exists a set  $A$  of 1000 cariocas with the following property: for each pair of cariocas in  $A$ , there exists a *distinct* carioca who likes both of them.
- (Rob Morris, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil)

*Solution by the proposer.* Choose 10 random cariocas (possibly with repetition), and consider the set  $X$  of cariocas that they all like. Observe that, writing  $V$  for the set of all  $n$  cariocas, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[|X|] \geq \sum_{v \in V} \left(\frac{d(v)}{n}\right)^{10} \geq 2^{-11} n \geq 2000,$$

where  $d(v)$  is the number of cariocas that like carioca  $v$ . Indeed, the first inequality follows by linearity of expectation, together with the fact that  $v \in X$  if and only if the 10 random cariocas all like  $v$ , the second inequality uses the convexity of the function  $f(x) = x^{10}$  and the fact that  $\sum_{v \in V} d(v) \geq \binom{n}{2}$ , and the third holds since  $n \geq 2^{23}$ .

Now, let  $Y$  be the set of pairs of cariocas in  $X$  such that fewer than  $\binom{1000}{2}$  cariocas like both of them. Observe that

$$\mathbb{E}[|Y|] \leq \binom{n}{2} \left(\frac{\binom{1000}{2}}{n}\right)^{10} \leq \frac{2^{189}}{n^8} \leq 1000,$$

again using the fact that  $n \geq 2^{23}$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{E}[|X| - |Y|] \geq 1000$ , and hence there exists a choice of 10 cariocas such that  $|X| - |Y| \geq$