

Erratum to

Minimal Spanning Forests

Ann. Probab. **34**, no. 5 (2006), 1665–1692.

by RUSSELL LYONS, YUVAL PERES, AND ODED SCHRAMM

On p. 1672, line 2, “ $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}$ ” should be “ $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{C}$ ”.

After the second sentence in the proof of Theorem 3.22, add “In fact, we show that ξ is surely connected on the event that all the labels $U(e)$ are distinct.”

At the start of the proof of Corollary 3.24, “FSF = WMSF” should be “FSF = WSF”; similarly, at the start of Example 6.4, the second occurrence of “WSF \neq FSF” should be “WMSF \neq FMSF”.

On p. 1688, the sentences “The expected number of visits the walk makes to x_k for $1 \leq k \leq K$ is then very small and the expected number of visits to x_k for $k > K$ is bounded. . . it follows that the expected number of crossings from one copy of \mathbb{Z}^3 to the other is very small” should be clarified to say, “The expected number of visits the walk makes to x_k for $1 \leq k \leq K$ is then very small and the expected number of visits to x_k for each $k > K$ is bounded. . . it follows that the expected number of crossings from one copy of \mathbb{Z}^3 to the other is very small if K is large”.

On p. 1689, Conjecture 6.9 and the paragraph following it should have the assumption that $p_c(G) < 1$.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405-7106
rdlyons@iu.edu
<https://rdlyons.pages.iu.edu/>

9 Sep. 2024